ALBERTO BENSIÓN AND/OR ZULEMA MALLO, in Pro Per Br. Artigas 34, P.10 Montevideo, CP 11300 Uruguay (Proof of Claim 47495)

ANDRÉS BENSIÓN MALLO, in Pro Per San Rafael 1227 Barra de Carrasco Canelones, CP 15001 Uruguay (Proof of Claim 47496)


UNITED	STATES	BANK	RUPTC	Y COURT
SOUTHE	RN DIST	RICT	OF NEW	YORK
			OT. 14W: 44	IUKK

THE THE YORK		
8 4 7 2 4 7 1 4 7 1 4 7 1 4 7 1 4 7 1 4 7 1 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 2 4 7 1 4 4 7 2 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7		
In re		
	Chapter 11 Case No.:	
LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.		
BROTTIERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.	08-13555 (JMP)	
	(Jointly Administered)	
Debtors.	(John Januarstered)	
다 그 작산이 및 보고 있는 이 집는 이 집는 이 많고 작 보이지 보고 있는 이 있는 이 있는 이 있는 이 것은 이 것은 이 것은 이 것은 이 있는 것 같는 것은 이 집 나는 것		

JOINDER TO RESPONSES TO DEBTORS' TWO HUNDRED FIFTEENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE CERTAIN FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM

TO THE HONORABLE JAMES M. PECK UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

We hereby submit this joinder to the (i) Response of Banco Itau Europa Luxembourg S.A. to Debtors' Two Hundred Fifteenth Omnibus Objection to Disallow and Expunge Certain Filed Proofs of Claim [Docket No. 21998]; (ii) Response of Lloyds TSB Bank PLC (Geneva Branch) to Debtors' Two Hundred Fifteenth Omnibus Objection to Disallow and Expunge Certain Filed Proofs of Claim [Docket Nos. 22008, 22011, 22012, 22013, & 22014]; (iii) Response of Lamita Jabbour to Debtors' Two Hundred Fifteenth Omnibus Objection to Disallow and Expunge Certain Filed Proofs of Claim [Docket No. 22020]; (iv) Response of Banco Pastor,



S.A. to Debtors' Two Hundred Fifteenth Omnibus Objection to Disallow and Expunge Certain Filed Proofs of Claim [Docket No. 22637]; and (v) Amended Response of Jamie H. Murcia to Debtors' Two Hundred Fifteenth Omnibus Objection To Disallow And Expunge Certain Filed Proofs Of Claim [Docket No. 22672], (collectively, the "Responses to the Two Hundred Fifteenth Omnibus Objection").

This joinder is submitted pursuant to the extension granted to us by Debtors' legal representatives until December 15, 2011 to respond to Debtors' Two Hundred Fifteenth Omnibus Objection. We are representing ourselves because the legal costs associated with this kind of litigation are beyond the scope of our financial possibilities, in no small part due to the fact that the money we lost as a result of the Lehman Brothers Chapter 11 bankruptcy represented a significant percentage of our savings.

JOINDER

We join in the arguments set forth in the Responses to Debtors' Two Hundred Fifteenth Omnibus Objection for the reasons, facts and legal arguments cited therein and respectfully request that this Court overrule said Objection and allow our claims to proceed. We also reserve the right to amend or supplement this joinder and to join in new arguments in response to the Objection as they may become available.

Dated: November 28, 2011 Montevideo, Uruguay

ALBERTO BENSION AND OR ZULEMA MALLO, in Pro Per

ANDRÉS BENSIÓN MALLO, in Pro Per

3

C/C

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 (Attn: Robert J. Lemons, Esq. and Mark Bernstein, Esq.);

The Office of the United States Trustee for Region 2
33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10004
(Attn: Tracy Hope Davis, Esq., Elisabetta Gasparini, Esq. and Andrea B. Schwartz, Esq.);

and

Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP
1 Chase Manhattan Plaza
New York, New York 10005
(Attn: Dennis F. Dunne, Esq., Dennis O'Donnell, Esq. and Evan Fleck, Esq.)